

Shifting from Fee-for-Service in Colorado: The Primary Care Collaborative and Alternative Payment Models

July 21, 2022



CENTER FOR IMPROVING

Agenda

- Introduction of Alternative Payment Models (APMs) and the value it offers to health care.
- Brief discussion regarding data intake, challenges, trends and summary of total medical spending for APMs
- Overview of the Primary Care Collaborative, recent legislation passed, and an overview of Medicaid's role in relation to primary care APMs
- Questions/Feedback from Participants
- Housekeeping: Session is being recorded, questions via the chat box



Presenters



and Vice Chair









Tara Smith Colorado Division of Insurance, Primary Care and Affordability Director



Chris Kennedy, District 23, Colorado State Representative



Trevor Abeyta, Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing, Payment Reform Section Manager



Our Mission

We strive to empower individuals, communities, and organizations through collaborative support services and health care information to advance the Triple Aim: Better Health, Better Care, Lower Cost

We are:

- Non-profit
- Independent
- Objective



Who We Serve

Change Agents

Individuals, communities, or organizations working to lower costs, improve care, and make Colorado healthier.



What's in the CO APCD?



870+ Million Claims



36 Commercial Payers, + Medicaid & Medicare





Nearly 70% of Insured (medical only)



2013-Present



How We Inform



Public CO APCD Data

Identify opportunities for improvement and to advance health care through public reports and publications



Non-Public CO APCD Data

Datasets and reports to address specific project needs aimed at better health, better care and lower costs

ALTERNATIVE PAYMENT MODELS: PAYING FOR VALUE, NOT JUST FOR VOLUME

David Keller MD, FAAP Professor and Vice Chair of Pediatrics University of Colorado School of Medicine and Childrens Hospital Colorado



Idea: Changes in payment will drive changes in practice

- We need to pay for value, not just for volume
- *"If you are going to regulate something complicated, you need lots of dials"*Paul Grundy, MD
- Value-based payment models are the dials!



Defining Value-Based Payments using HCP-LAN Alternative Payment Model Framework





Category 1: Fee for service



Process

- Provider performs service
- Provider codes service
- Provider bills patient (insurance)
- Patient pays co-pay (or percentage)
- Insurance pays based on code

KEY TO SUCCESS: Coding Volume



Category 2: Fee for service, Link to Quality and Value



Process

- Provider performs service
- Provider codes service
- Provider bills patient (insurance)
- Patient pays co-pay (or percentage)
- Insurance pays based on code and on performance on quality and value metrics

KEY TO SUCCESS: Coding Volume Understanding and implementing QI processes



Category 3: APMs Built on Fee for Service Architecture



Process

- Payer defines a covered population
- Provider performs service
- Provider codes service
- Provider bills patient (insurance)
- Patient pays co-pay (or percentage)
- Insurance pays based on code and on performance on quality/ value metrics
- Insurance and provider share in savings (and possibly losses)

KEY TO SUCCESS:

Coding

Volume

Understanding and implementing QI processes

Managing population health



Category 4: Population-based Payment



Process

- Payer defines a covered population
- Insurance negotiated comprehensive payment to handle
- Provider(s) provides services
- Providers savings (and possibly losses)

KEY TO SUCCESS: Coding Volume Understanding and implementing QI processes Managing population health Managing risk



As always:

The Devil is in the Details

Payment Model	Pitfalls in Operationalizing
FFS	Fee schedule favors procedural over cognitive careOverall inadequate primary care reimbursement
Traditional Capitation	 Lack of adequate risk adjustment for patient needs Basing rates in historic inadequate FFS payments
Pay for Performance	 Measures largely disease-focused, often process rather than outcomes, not patient-oriented or reflective of key components of primary care Delays in receiving incentives
Shared Savings/ACOs	 Providers still paid FFS Basing benchmarks on historic expenditures rewards prior inefficiency Lag in receiving savings
Blended FFS and Capitation	 Predominance of FFS over PMPMs may not reach a tipping point that enables restructuring practice

Stephanie Gold, Presentation to the PCPRC, 2019



What about children?: Don't really fit the model

- 24% of population
 - Less chronic disease
 - Great impact of social conditions
- Important outcomes are harder to measure
 - Take longer
 - Cross systems
- 11% of total spend
- Savings may not be enough to fund transformation



Total Health Spend, 2018, \$3.6T





University of Colorado School of Medicine



CIVHC APM Collection and Analysis

• Maria de Jesus Diaz-Perez, Director of Research and Performance Measurement





CENTER FOR IMPROVING

Background

- In 2019, CIVHC began collecting APM information from health insurance payers for the first time through Data Submission Guide rule change.
 - House Bill 19-1233 passed the same year Concerning payment system reforms to reduce health care costs by increasing utilization of primary care

Data Sources:

- 1. Payer-submitted APM files
 - CIVHC receives APM submission from 13 payers.
 - Each payer submits a test file in July and submits a production file in September
 - CIVHC validates the test files and shares the findings to the payer

2. Claims from the CO APCD

• For FFS-only payers, primary care and total medical spending data is sourced from the CO APCD.

Background: Alternative Payment Model Data Collection Timeline and Challenges

2019

- Produced claims-based Primary Care report, based on methodology used by Balit, Friedberg and Houy (2017).
- Adopted Oregon Health Authority APM classification
- Initial APM data collection

2020

- The Collaborative issued first set of recommendations:
 - CIVHC implemented Primary Care definition
 - HCP LAN categorization for APM collection
- Technical modifications to APM data collection:
 - Colorado resident vs. contract situs
 - Added Line of Business detail

2021

- Changes to APM data collection:
 - Added fields to identify prospective/retrospective payments
 - Qualitative Supplement to APMs submission
- Improved APM data collection and validation process by increasing engagement with carriers' data and policy teams
- Attestation requirement

20

Background: APM Data Collection and Validation Process





Challenges and Lessons Learned

- Define denominator
 - Including pharmacy, dental or vision services
- Implications of primary care definition
- Need of continued conversations with carriers
 - Building connections and relationships between data and program/policy teams within carriers
- Plans identify primary care providers differently than the definition recommended by the Collaborative and used by CIVHC
 - Using a state health care workforce data directory as an external validation
- Medicaid puzzle
 - Working more closely with the State Medicaid agency to ensure that payments associated with ACCs are properly represented

Trends and summary of total medical spending for **APMs % of Total Medical Spending**

Total APM Payments vs. Percent of APMs Across All Medical Payments 2018-2020

Commercial 31% 32% 30% 1.4B 27% 26% 25% 24% 25% 1.2B 22% 1B 20% % **Total APM Payments** of APM Payments 16% 800M 15% 600M 11% 10% 400M 5% 200M 0 0% 2019 2018 2019 2020 2018 2019 2020 2018 2020

(Integrated Payer-Provider Systems Not Included)

Trends and summary of total medical spending for APMs % of Total Medical Spending

Total Payments vs. Total APM Payments Commercial Payers, 2020





Trends and summary of total medical spending for APMs % of Total Medical Spending by LAN Categories

Total APM Payments by LAN Categories Across All Medical Payments for All Payers, 2020

Total APM Payments		% of APM Payments	
Foundational Payments for Infrastructure & Operations (2A)	\$82,301,700		2.8%
Pay for Reporting (2B)	\$0		0.0%
Pay for Performance (2C)		\$1,779,394,000	61.0%
Shared Savings with Upside Risk Only (3A)	\$379,406,500		13.0%
Shared Savings with Downside Risk (3B)	\$30,147,800		1.0%
Risk Based Payments NOT Linked to Quality (3N)	\$155,058,400		5.3%
Condition-Specific Population- Based Payments (4A)	\$133,508,700		4.6%
Comprehensive Population-Based Payment (4B)	\$947,000		0.0%
Integrated Finance & Delivery System (4C)	\$0		0.0%
Capitated Payments NOT Linked to Quality (4N)	\$356,894,000		12.2%

*The payments categorization corresponds to the HCP Learning Action Network (LAN) framework



COLORADO

Investing in Primary Care

Tara Smith, Primary Care and Affordability Director Colorado Division of Insurance





COLORADO Department of Regulatory Agencies

Division of Insurance

House Bill 19-1233

Concerning payment system reforms to reduce health care costs by increasing utilization of primary care





PCPRC Composition & Responsibilities



RESPONSIBILITES

- Advise in the development of affordability standards and target investments in primary care
- Analyze the percentage of medical expenses allocated to primary care
- Develop a recommendation of a definition of primary care
- Identify barriers to the adoption of alternative payment models (APMs) by health insurers and providers
- Develop recommendations to increase the use of APMs
- Increase investment in primary care delivery without increasing total costs of care and costs to consumers

Primary Care & APM Spending Report - APCD

- APCD administrator shall provide an annual report to the Commissioner for use by the PCPRC regarding primary care spending by:
 - Commercial carriers;
 - Colorado's Medicaid program (Health First Colorado); and
 - Children's Health Insurance Program (CHP+)
- Report must include:
 - Percentage of total medical expenditures allocated to primary care;
 - Share of payments that are made through nationally recognized APMs;
 - Share of payments that are not paid on a fee-for-service (FFS) or per-claim basis



PCPRC Annual Recommendation Reports



Colorado's Primary Care Payment Reform Collaborative Recommendations

FIRST ANNUAL REPORT

DECEMBER 15, 2019

Theme 1: Investing in primary care



Colorado Primary Care Payment Reform Collaborative Recommendations

> SECOND ANNUAL REPORT December 15, 2020

Theme 2: APMs and payer alignment

Colorado's Primary Care Payment Reform Collaborative

THIRD ANNUAL RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

DECEMBER 2021



Theme 3: Health equity and collaboration



https://doi.colorado.gov/insurance-products/health-insurance/health-insurance-initiatives/primary-care-payment-reform

PRPCR Recommendations

2019	2020	2021
Broad and inclusive definition of primary care	Multi-payer alignment	Guiding increased investment in primary care
Primary care investment target	Measuring primary care capacity and performance	Centering health equity in APMs
Measuring the impact of primary care spending	Measuring system-level success	Integrating behavioral health in a primary care setting
Investing in advanced primary care delivery models	Incorporating equity into the governance of health initiatives	Increasing collaboration between primary care and public health
Investing in infrastructure and through APMs	Data collection to address health equity	



Primary Care Expenditures - APCD Reporting



- HCP LAN categorization for APM collection
- Broken out by line of business
- Added fields to identify prospective payments

- Family medicine physicians in an outpatient setting and when practicing general primary care
- General pediatric physicians and adolescent medicine physicians in an outpatient setting and when practicing general primary care
- Geriatric medicine physicians in an outpatient setting when practicing general primary care
- Internal medicine physicians in an outpatient setting and when practicing general primary care (excludes internists who specialize in areas such as cardiology, oncology, and other common internal medicine specialties beyond the scope of general primary care)
- OB-GYN physicians in an outpatient setting and when practicing general primary care
- Providers such as nurse practitioners and physicians' assistants in an outpatient setting and when practicing general primary care
- Behavioral health providers, including psychiatrists, providing mental health and substance use disorder services when integrated into a primary care setting



DOI Regulation 4-2-72



Requirement:

• One percentage point increase in 2022 and 2023

Target:

• 25% primary care expenditures through prospective payments by end of CY 2023

<u>Target:</u>

- 50% of total medical expenditures in APMs by end of 2022
- 10% of APM expenditures through prospective payments by end of CY 2022



Colorado APM Alignment Initiative

Primary Care Aligned APM

- Primary care provider types
- Adoption of advanced primary care delivery competencies
- Aligned quality measures
- Support to primary care practices to facilitate transition to APMs
- Advanced APM considerations
 - Services included in APMs
 - Shared savings models for children's care
 - Patient attribution
 - Risk adjustment
 - Prospective payments
- Monitoring APMs for unintended consequences

Maternity Care Aligned APM

- Episode definition
 - Timing
 - Patient population
 - Services
- Accountable entity
- Aligned quality measures
- Risk adjustment
- Patient attribution
- Provider/practice support



State Transformation Collaboratives (STCs)

The STCs offer a new approach to VBC models and APM design.

Objective:

Shift the economic drivers away from fee-for-service to a personcentered approach to health through alignment among Medicare, Medicare Advantage, Medicaid, and commercial payers and purchasers in selected states

Potential Goals:

- Shift 60% of payments to an APM for participating providers in a state
- Avoidable hospitalizations
- 2 to 3 state-specific goals tailored to local needs





4 distinct state collaboratives



Comprised of payers, providers, health systems, purchasers, patient advocates, and community organizations



Locally-focused approach that features state level Medicare and Medicaid alignment



Prioritizes states with greatest opportunity to impact health equity

January 2022: Draft state roadmap and meet with STC leadership January-February 2022: Host a Design Session for each STC and hold additional stakeholder discussions **Spring 2022 Onward**: Hold regular meetings with STCs and measure progress

Looking ahead




COLORADO

THANK YOU



House Bill 22-1325: Alignment of Quality Metrics & Alternative Payment Model Parameters

Representative Chris Kennedy July 21, 2022

- Limited cooperation from health insurance carriers
- Fear among providers of under reimbursement, perverse incentives, and unintended consequences
- Increased focus on systems that advance equitable outcomes

Why was additional legislation needed?

- Establishes a process that includes the Division of Insurance, the Department of Health Care Policy & Financing (Medicaid), and the Department of Personnel & Administration (State Employee Plans)
- > Sets clear goals for what APMs are to achieve, including:
 - Increased primary care investment & better healthcare system value
 - Improved access and more equitable outcomes
 - Integration of behavioral health care

House Bill 1325

Directs the Division of Insurance to establish aligned APM parameters, including:

- Quality Metrics
- Risk Adjustment
- Attribution Methodologies
- Core Competencies

Requires state-regulated insurance companies to incorporate these parameters into their APMs for plans issues on or after January 1, 2025

Requires data collection & analysis

House Bill 1325

HCPF Primary Care Payment Reform

Presented by Trevor Abeyta Payment Reform Section Manager, HCPF



Goals of Medicaid Primary Care Payment Reform

Improve Outcomes & Patient Experience

Close Health Disparities

Support Primary Care Providers

Improve Affordability



Primary Care Transformation Timeline APM 2: Cover APM 2 (Non-APM 2 APM 1 all Medicaid (Pediatrics) FQ + FQHC): Members 2018 2022 2023 2025



44

Supporting Primary Care Providers w/ Data





Closing Health Disparities



Identify Disparities

Share Data

Tie Improvement to Payment



Multi-Payer Alignment

HCPF APM Strategy: Align Across Payers Wherever Possible

Bailit Health Workgroups

State Transformation Collaborative

HB22-1325



Questions and Feedback



Reach out to info@civhc.org

Connect with CIVHC on Facebook, LinkedIN, and Twitter

Recording will be posted here: <u>www.civhc.org/about-civhc/news-and-events/event-resources/</u>