Monthly Meeting Notes



The following documents the meeting convened on 12/4/2024:

Committee Member Attendees:	CIVHC Staff Attendees:	
Ako Quammie (Contexture)	🛛 Kelsey Foland	🛛 Maggie Mueller
Andy Woster (CCMCN)	Abby Fehler	🛛 Martha Meyer
Beth Martin (HCPF)	🗵 Amanda Kim	🛛 Mason Thaxton
Caleb Wright (Elevance Health)	Danielle Evergreen	Paul McCormick
☑ Chris McDowell (Valley Health Alliance)	Darcy Holladay Ford	🛛 Pete Sheehan
Essey Yirdaw (Colorado Hospital Association)	🛛 Hannah Witting	□ Sauntice Washington
☑ Jesse Villines (Craig Hospital)	⊠ Jacque Lewis	🗌 Twanisha Parnell
Megan Denham (Georgia Tech)	John Francis (counsel)	🛛 Isaac Nwi-Mozu
Nathan Wilkes (Headstorms, Inc.)	🛛 Ken Holtschlag	🖾 Megha Jha
Sheri Herner (Kaiser Permanete)	□ Kristin Paulson	🛛 Melissa Sharp
	🛛 Liz Mooney	🛛 Kimi Landry
	🛛 Lucía Sanders	

Agenda

<u>10:30 AM</u>	24.54
Requesting Organization:	Colorado School of Public Health
Project Title:	The Impossible Choice: The Role of Insurance Design on Financial Toxicity and Access to Care for Individuals with Blood Cancer
<u>11:00 AM</u>	24.515.2
Requesting Organization:	University of Maryland Baltimore
Project Title:	Impact of Public Health Emergency Policies on Medication Use and Follow-up Care Among Youth with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder
<u>11:30 AM</u>	25.102.70
Requesting Organization:	Colorado Division of Insurance (DOI)
Project Title:	Colorado Option Evaluations



<u>12:00 PM</u>	25.04
Requesting Organization:	Colorado School of Public Health
Project Title:	Evaluation of the Colorado Public Option Plan and its Impacts on Costs of Care and Provider Networks
	Assessing administered drug prices and spending among Colorado private insurers in Medicare



10:30 AM		24.54
Extract Type:		Identifiable
Requesting C	Organization:	Colorado School of Public Health
Project Title:		The Impossible Choice: The Role of Insurance Design on Financial Toxicity and Access to Care for Individuals with Blood Cancer
CIVHC Prese	nter:	Lucía Sanders, Key Account Manager
Project Prese	enter(s):	Marcelo Perraillon, Helen Parsons, Cathy Bradley
	R	equested Protected Health Information (PHI):
Requested	Approved	Data Element
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member 5-Digit Zip Code
		Member County
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member City
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Dates of Service
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Eligibility Dates
		Employer Name
		Member <u>FIPS Code</u>
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member <u>Census Tract</u>
		Member <u>Census Block</u>
		Member <u>Census Block Group</u>
		Available for Identifiable Extracts only:
		Member Name
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Date of Birth (if requesting more than year only)
		Member Street Address
		Member Latitude and Longitude
	\boxtimes	Employer Tax ID

Monthly Meeting Notes



Committee Discussion and Questions

- Lucía provides brief overview of request
- Project team joins call and shares materials
- Nathan: appreciates the attention to security throughout the hashing process. Curious if the matching elements are sufficient, or if there are other pieces of information that could be used to link back to individuals such as 5-digit zip
 - Marcelo: doesn't see any issue, since Experian will never have access to any of the PII
- Nathan: how is the control group being selected? We don't want Experian to be able to maneuver back through that group either
 - Marcelo: they won't have any knowledge of the people being selected. Many members of the control group are chosen at random. CU will be completing age and sex matching
- Ako: on the Experian side of things. For a patient with blood cancer in their system, if that patient makes EOL purchases it would show up on the Experian report. If there is correlation between credit card information and post-diagnoses spending, that same thing could also factor into the blood cancer and control group. How are you accounting for that?
 - Marcelo: that's the goal of using the control group across time. Especially with chronic conditions, such as long COVID, expenditures increase then drop around the point of diagnoses. It will be unique to see what might occur for something like blood cancer. Comparing before and after the treatment group and control group will let us know what differences, if any, are occurring
- Project team drops from call, Kelsey acknowledges this is a complex project that might need further discussion. Are there any objections?
- Megan: is he just looking at credit information at one point in time, or is that being pulled multiple times?
 - Lucía: they will be getting a financial summary per calendar year per individual. It should not be an actual credit score, but a different summary approach
- Ako: it makes sense that there's a correlation. But we are all humans and all make irresponsible financial decisions, regardless of diagnoses. Finding a thread between the two might be impossible because of the varied human experience. How someone ties their diagnoses to their financial situation could be completely unpredictable. It's hard to find a corollary right now
 - Megan: past work at a hospital used credit to determine the amount of charity care patients with TBIs could receive. Debt-to-income ratios across the years could show some correlations

Monthly Meeting Notes



- Andy via Zoom Chat: the financial health summary is not super granular, either, if it is annual.
- Nathan: the request makes sense, only concern is exposing financial data to people who don't need to see it. Credit rates fluctuate wildly with things like cancer treatment costs. Small concern with the release of financial data—would like to better understand what is in the Financial Summary Report.
 - Megan: maybe it would be helpful to see what information is on the credit summary to see what is being released
 - \circ Lucía will request more information from Marcelo and get that back to the group

Does the DRRC recommend this pro	ject for production?	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	
First Motion to Recommend:	Nathan Wilkes (Headstorms, Inc.)			
Second Motion to Recommend:	Essey Yirdaw (Colorado Hospital As	Essey Yirdaw (Colorado Hospital Association)		
Production condition(s):	No conditions			
Are there objections to this project's production?Image: YesProduction is not recommended if three (3) or more Committee members object.Image: Yes			🛛 No	
DRRC Objector:	Basis for Objection:			



11:00 AM		24.515.2	
Extract Type:		Limited	
Requesting Organization:		University of Maryland Baltimore	
Project Title:		Impact of Public Health Emergency Policies on Medication Use and Follow-up Care Among Youth with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder	
CIVHC Prese	nter:	Kimi Landry, RPI	
Project Prese	enter(s):	Alejandro Amill-Rosario Ph.D., M.P.H; Susan dosReis	
	R	equested Protected Health Information (PHI):	
Requested	Approved	Data Element	
		Member 5-Digit Zip Code	
		Member County	
		Member City	
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Dates of Service	
		Member Eligibility Dates	
		Employer Name	
		Member <u>FIPS Code</u>	
		Member <u>Census Tract</u>	
		Member <u>Census Block</u>	
		Member <u>Census Block Group</u>	
	Available for Identifiable Extracts only:		
		Member Name	
		Member Date of Birth (if requesting more than year only)	
		Member Street Address	
		Member Latitude and Longitude	
		Employer Tax ID	

Monthly Meeting Notes



Committee Discussion and Questions

- Kimi provides brief overview of request
- Project team joins call and shares materials
- Megan: are you looking just at access to care and treatment, or also looking at access to medication? Are you accounting for medication shortages?
 - Dr. Amill-Rosario: we are focusing on ADHD medications, so we are developing a term reflecting the medication shortages and some of the flexibilities the DEA provided. So we will be incorporating that terminology under the ITS data structure model once completed
- Nathan: any differentiation between payers? Different payers dealt with the public health emergency differently
 - Dr. Amill-Rosario: one of the critical aspects of the measure is to look at Payers separately, yes
- Megan: are you able to look at the distance to access care? Telehealth increasing access could be interesting to see in the future
 - Dr. Amill-Rosario: we preferred the Date of Service PHI element for this study due to some limitations on grant funding, but we will include geographic indicators such as rurality. There will also be a population indicator via ACS to see some more detail around providers within certain areas
- Project team drops from call, Kelsey asks for any further questions from the Committee
- Nathan: how does this compare to some of the telehealth studies that have been completed through CIVHC before?
 - Kimi: RPI hasn't worked with telehealth-specific project yet, defers to other CIVHC staff
 - Amanda: we have done a couple of different analyses—there is a Telehealth Services Analysis and a Telehealth Payment Parity Analysis. The services explored in those expanded significantly beyond behavioral health, so this is a more focused study

Monthly Meeting Notes



Does the DRRC recommend this project for production?			🗆 No
First Motion to Recommend:	Jesse VIllines (Craig Hospital)		
Second Motion to Recommend:	Nathan Wilkes (Headstorms, Inc.)		
Production condition(s):	No conditions		
Are there objections to this project's Production is not recommended if the members object.		□ Yes	🛛 No
DRRC Objector:	Basis for Objection:		



11:30 AM		25.102.70
Extract Type:		Limited
Requesting C	Organization:	Colorado Division of Insurance (DOI)
Project Title:		Colorado Option Evaluations
CIVHC Prese	nter:	Amanda Kim, Director of Colorado State Initiatives
Project Prese	enter(s):	Kate Davidson, Vincent Pohl
	R	equested Protected Health Information (PHI):
Requested	Approved	Data Element
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member 5-Digit Zip Code
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member County
		Member City
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Dates of Service
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Eligibility Dates
		Employer Name
		Member <u>FIPS Code</u>
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member <u>Census Tract</u>
		Member <u>Census Block</u>
		Member <u>Census Block Group</u>
Available for Identifiable Extracts only:		
		Member Name
		Member Date of Birth (if requesting more than year only)
		Member Street Address
		Member Latitude and Longitude
		Employer Tax ID

Monthly Meeting Notes



Committee Discussion and Questions

- Amanda provides brief overview of request
- Project team joins call and shares materials
- No questions from the Committee
- Project team drops from call, Kelsey asks for any further questions from the Committee
- No comments or concerns from the Committee

Does the DRRC recommend this pro	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	
First Motion to Recommend:	Ako Quammie (Contexture)		
Second Motion to Recommend:	Essey Yirdaw (Colorado Hospital As	ssociation)	
Production condition(s):	No conditions		
Are there objections to this project's production? \[Yes \] Yes Production is not recommended if three (3) or more Committee members object. \]			🛛 No
DRRC Objector:	Basis for Objection:		



12:00 PM		25.04
Extract Type:	:	Identifiable
Requesting C	Organization:	Colorado School of Public Health
Project Title:		Evaluation of the Colorado Public Option Plan and its Impacts on Costs of Care and Provider Networks Assessing administered drug prices and spending among Colorado private insurers in Medicare
CIVHC Prese	nter:	Lucía Sanders, Key Account Manager
Project Prese	enter(s):	Jason Gibbons, Angela Liu, Mark Meiselbach, Roslyn "Roz" Murray, Elena "Ellie" Prager
	R	equested Protected Health Information (PHI):
Requested	Approved	Data Element
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member 5-Digit Zip Code
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member County
		Member City
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Dates of Service
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member Eligibility Dates
		Employer Name
		Member <u>FIPS Code</u>
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Member <u>Census Tract</u>
		Member <u>Census Block</u>
		Member <u>Census Block Group</u>
Available for Identifiable Extracts only:		
		Member Name
		Member Date of Birth (if requesting more than year only)
		Member Street Address
		Member Latitude and Longitude
	\boxtimes	Employer Tax ID

Monthly Meeting Notes



Committee Discussion and Questions

- Lucía provides brief overview of request
- Project team joins call and shares materials
- Nathan: how will you be selecting the Tax Payer IDs for the Finder File?
 - Ellie Prager: The Department of Labor requires forms to be submitted by sufficiently large employers for regulatory purposes (confirmed threshold is for 100 employees). Those forms are available for the public to download, which can then be used to build Tax IDs confirmed to be not tied to an individual. IDs that are not in the CO APCD will be dropped and scrambled. This will cover everyone with a TIN, not just those under the CO Option, so we are expecting that most will not be in the CO APCD
 - Nathan: can you confirm that it will be TIN for some, and arbitrary ID for others to equip grouping?
 - Ellie: correct, mechanically it will look like two separate columns to account for 'missing'
 - Ako: it might be worth looking at beneficial owner information from the new federal system (Financial Times Enforcement Network)
- Ako: do you have a methodology to determine benchmarks for quality of care?
 - Ellie Prager: there are some fairly standard metrics from the literature, but none are perfect and all have concerns about patient mixes. Measures such as Readmission Rates, Never Events, Adjusted Mortality Rates, and Deterioration Status are imperfect to measure in claims data but very helpful to equip aggregation at the provider level to determine quality of care across measures
 - Megan: will you be using HEDIS, or just your own measures?
 - Ellie: in practice, it will be a combination of both. HEDIS can be great for hospitals and nursing homes, so we will supplement with best practices in the literatures
- Chris: will you be able to risk stratify the population?
 - Ellie Prager: in terms of medical risk?
 - Mark Meiselbach: Johns Hopkins has a renowned ACG system, so we could explore higher comorbidity and how those patients are impacted. We don't have a strong hypothesis for this angle now, but it could certainly be a future exploration
 - Ellie notes that these explorations are typically more relevant to non-working populations in a Medicaid or Medicare context





- Project team drops from call, Kelsey asks for any further questions from the Committee
- Nathan: is this the first request for Employer Tax Payer ID?
 - Lucía: it is not technically the first, but past requests have received integers. This might be the first request for the 'real' ID—Martha confirms this

Does the DRRC recommend this pro	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	
First Motion to Recommend:	Megan Denham (Georgia Tech)		
Second Motion to Recommend:	Nathan Wilkes (Headstorms, Inc.)		
Production condition(s):	No conditions		
Are there objections to this project's Production is not recommended if the members object.		□ Yes	🛛 No
DRRC Objector:	Basis for Objection:		